

**General Fund State Spending History**  
**Compared to Proposed Limitation in HB 2067/ HB 2082**

(\$s in Millions)

Fiscal Year	Administration		General Fund State Spending*			Proposed Limitation		Spending Above Proposed Limits
			\$ Total	\$ Increase	% Increase	% Increase	\$ Increase	
1979/80	Thornburgh	Actual	\$5,939	\$480	8.8%	6.6%	\$361	\$119
1980/81	Thornburgh	Actual	\$6,328	\$390	6.6%	8.5%	\$504	
1981/82	Thornburgh	Actual	\$6,675	\$347	5.5%	10.8%	\$684	
1982/83	Thornburgh	Actual	\$7,077	\$401	6.0%	11.7%	\$782	
1983/84	Thornburgh	Actual	\$7,402	\$325	4.6%	10.0%	\$707	
1984/85	Thornburgh	Actual	\$7,912	\$511	6.9%	6.6%	\$486	\$25
1985/86	Thornburgh	Actual	\$8,575	\$662	8.4%	4.6%	\$361	\$301
1986/87	Thornburgh	Actual	\$8,912	\$338	3.9%	3.7%	\$317	\$21
1987/88	Casey	Actual	\$9,613	\$701	7.9%	3.2%	\$289	\$411
1988/89	Casey	Actual	\$10,107	\$494	5.1%	3.0%	\$291	\$204
1989/90	Casey	Actual	\$10,965	\$859	8.5%	3.2%	\$325	\$534
1990/91	Casey	Actual	\$11,402	\$437	4.0%	4.2%	\$461	
1991/92	Casey	Actual	\$12,780	\$1,377	12.1%	4.8%	\$546	\$832
1992/93	Casey	Actual	\$12,910	\$130	1.0%	4.8%	\$615	
1993/94	Casey	Actual	\$13,979	\$1,069	8.3%	4.2%	\$543	\$526
1994/95	Casey	Actual	\$14,706	\$726	5.2%	3.4%	\$476	\$250
1995/96	Ridge	Actual	\$15,247	\$541	3.7%	2.9%	\$420	\$122
1996/97	Ridge	Actual	\$15,470	\$223	1.5%	2.8%	\$426	
1997/98	Ridge	Actual	\$16,214	\$744	4.8%	2.8%	\$430	\$313
1998/99	Ridge	Actual	\$17,122	\$908	5.6%	2.7%	\$437	\$471
1999/00	Ridge	Actual	\$18,498	\$1,377	8.0%	2.3%	\$388	\$988
2000/01 **	Ridge	Actual	\$19,629	\$1,131	6.1%	2.0%	\$374	\$757
2001/02	Ridge	Actual	\$19,824	\$195	1.0%	2.4%	\$466	
2002/03	Ridge	Actual	\$20,007	\$183	0.9%	2.8%	\$556	
2003/04	Rendell	Actual	\$21,049	\$1,042	5.2%	2.6%	\$519	\$523
2004/05	Rendell	Actual	\$22,425	\$1,377	6.5%	2.2%	\$470	\$906
2005/06	Rendell	Budgeted	\$23,311	\$885	3.9%	2.2%	\$488	\$398

\* State spending is total General Fund state appropriations less Treasury debt service, school employees' retirement, and lapses.

\*\* General Fund state spending for 2000/01 includes \$249 million for Homeowner's Property Tax Rebate.

**NOTE:** Beginning with 2001/02, utilization of non-state revenue significantly reduced the General Fund state spending that otherwise would have been required. For example, the 2001/02 budget used over \$380 million of IGT reserves and TANF reserves, otherwise state spending would have exceeded the proposed limit. Similarly, the 2002/03 budget used over \$975 million of IGT reserves, TANF reserves, and Tobacco Settlement Funds, without which state spending would have exceeded the proposed limit. The IGT and TANF reserves will not be available for future budgets.