1	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES	
2	GAME AND FISHERIES COMMITTEE	
3		
4	In Re:	
5	Public Hearing - New Saturday) Opening Day of Rifle Deer) Season)	
6		
7	Mon Valley Cancen Tech Center	
8	Mon Valley Career Tech Center 5 Guttman Boulevard	
9	Charleroi, PA 15022 February 20, 2020 5:00 p.m.	
10		
11	DEFORE THE CAME AND ETCHERTES COMMITTEE.	
12	BEFORE THE GAME AND FISHERIES COMMITTEE:	
13	Torren Ecker, Acting Majority Chairman William Kortz, Minority Chairman Chagany Bafforspanger, Majority Executive Birector	
14	Gregory Raffensperger, Majority Executive Director Steve McMillen, Minority Executive Director	
15	Bud Cook David Maloney Barbara Gleim	
16	Parke Wentling Anita Kulik	
17	Robert Merski	
18		
19	Reported by:	
20	Pamela J. Rose Court Reporter	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
ر ک		

1		I N D E X
2		
3	SPEAKERS:	
4	Randy Santucci -	Southwest Regional Director,
5		Unified Sportsmen of Pennsylvania
6	Harold Daub -	Executive Director,
7		Pennsylvania Federation of Sportsmen and Conservationists
8	Dennis Fredericks -	Commissioner, District 2
10	Bryan Burhans -	Executive Director,
11		Pennsylvania Game Commission
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

Everybody. It's a privilege to be here today.

Thanks for coming out. It's a great turnout today.

As you can see, I am not Chairman Keith Gillespie.

MR. ECKLER: Well, good afternoon,

Chairman Gillespie is a little under the weather today, so he asked that I -- working down the chain of command as secretary, I'm kind of filling in for him today.

I'm Representative Torren Eckler. I represent part of Adams and Cumberland Counties kind of towards the other side of the state. It's a privilege and an honor to be here today to talk about these issues, and I really appreciate the turnout today. This is a great opportunity.

Representative Bud Cook, thanks for having us here. You know, we'll kick things off here and get right into it.

Before we get started, I'd like to turn it over to the minority chair here, Mr. Bill Kortz. Go ahead.

MR. KORTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As he said, my name is Bill Kortz. I'm the state representative from the 38th District here in

Allegheny County and I'm also the minority chair of the committee.

First, I'd like to thank Chairman

Keith Gillespie for bringing this hearing down

here. This is a very important issue to talk

about. Being an avid hunter myself, I understand

the passion of this subject and I respect the

opinions on both sides.

So I'm looking forward to the testimony this evening, but before I go any further, I would like to recognize a couple of my old colleagues that are here.

Former Representative,

Dave Leganski, who was chair of the Finance

Committee, is here and also former Representative

Rick Saccone is here.

Rick, thank you for coming also with your lovely wife.

As I said, this is a very important subject, and I'm glad Representative Cook wanted to do this now. It's a very, very appropriate time to do it. And as I've already stated, it is a very passionate subject. So I understand that. I respect the opinions of all sides.

So with that, I'll turn it over to you,

2.0

2.1

Mr. Chairman, so the members can introduce themselves.

2.0

2.1

MR. ECKLER: Certainly. You know, I don't think it would be appropriate if we didn't let Representative Cook introduce himself and welcome us to his district.

So Representative Cook.

MR. COOK: From the 49th District, parts of Fayette and Washington Counties, the Mon Valley, State Representative Bud Cook. I want to welcome you all for being here.

This is indeed a very passionate subject, very important to us. I'd like to let people know we have approximately 19 sportsmen's clubs in the district alone.

Monday hunting in the past has been the state holiday every year right after Thanksgiving. It's just amazing the impact and the passion that's out there. E-mails for me have been running about 50/50 the last two weeks. Personally, I'm a traditionalist. I grew up in the woods. You know, Monday was always the day. So during the Sunday hunting debate, we had an amendment on the table regarding moving the start date back. We pulled that back in order to get the Sunday hunting bill

across the line, but we did want to have this conversation.

I appreciate everybody being out there today. We appreciate your passion. We're trying to get our arms around where do we go with it.

One of the other hats that I wear is the secretary of the Travel and Tourism Committee in Harrisburg. The change of the day had an impact on travel and tourism up North. So it had a little bit more impact than just the outdoors part.

So welcome to the 49th District, Folks. We hope you'll come back. It's a great place to live and work.

MR. ECKLER: Thank you, Representative Cook, and thanks for hosting. It's a lovely facility, and I appreciate the opportunity to be here and talk about this issue.

At this time I'd like to kind of work down the line here and have everybody introduce themselves.

Representative Gleim, do you want to start?

MS. GLEIM: Sure. I'm Representative Gleim from Cumberland County, the 199th District.

MR. MALONEY: Representative

2.0

2.1

Dave Maloney from Berks County.

2.0

2.1

MR. MERSKI: State Representative

Bob Merski, 2nd Legislative District, Erie County.

MS. KULIK: Representative Anita Kulik, 45th Legislative District, Allegheny County, West Hills.

MR. ECKLER: Great. Well, without further adieu, why don't we get into this. We're going to have three speakers today. We'll call you up one at a time.

Let's start off with Mr. Randy Santucci,
Southwest Regional Director of the Unified
Sportsmen of Pennsylvania.

MR. SANTUCCI: Thank you.

MR. ECKLER: Thanks for being here.

MR. SANTUCCI: Okay, I guess we're ready to roll? Okay.

First, I'd like to thank Chairman

Gillespie for the opportunity here, Representative

Kortz, Representative Cook, and the other

legislators that made the time to come here. I

really appreciate it.

Tonight I'm here representing the vast number of hunters, camp owners and businesses that remain opposed to the Saturday deer opener and have

suffered adverse impacts as a result of the change.

2.0

2.1

The Pennsylvania Game Commission's decision to move the opening day from Monday to the first Saturday after Thanksgiving was ill conceived, unsubstantiated, damaged the sport and tradition, produced direct and indirect harm to the multitude of businesses that depend on that revenue generated by hunters.

Furthermore, contrary to claims by the Game Commission, the Saturday opener did not increase hunting licenses for targeted groups in any magnitude remotely comparable to the detriments it caused, and I will provide evidence to that effect.

I'm a 60-year-old hunter in

Pennsylvania. I served as president of the Unified

Sportsmen, a statewide sportsmen's organization, a

current member of three local sportsmen's clubs, as

well as a former member of the Governor's Advisory

Council under Governor Corbett for hunting, fishing

and conservation.

Changing the over half century structure this weekend was a nonissue. Hunters never sought a change to the opening day, and the idea never surfaced until one PGC commissioner, Jim Daley,

floated this idea late 2018.

2.0

2.1

Since his initial support, Mr. Daley, upon learning the entirety of the benefits connected to the Monday opener, as well as hunter opposition, he reversed his position. He later resigned from the board when other board members would not recognize the unanimous strong opinion opposition data presented to the board. He stated hurting one group to benefit another is never a good idea. He was completely correct, and today he is outspoken to go back to the Monday opener.

Commissioner discussion ensued at the December 2018 working group meeting which displayed a lack of not only understanding about certain segments of Pennsylvania hunters but also a flagrant disregard and irrelevancy for our core of aging hunters.

when the PGC 2017 statewide hunter survey results revealed a 65 percent hunter opposition to changing the Monday opener, these results were pointedly dismissed by the board, and I quote, "This is just the old guys." Not just an age discriminatory remark but troubling knowing the median age of our hunters in Pennsylvania today is nearly 52 years old. As a Pennsylvania hunting

license buyer for 48 consecutive years, I personally did not appreciate my opinion being rudely dismissed.

2.0

2.1

Camp owners and hunters, there was a disdain that seemed to emerge then towards camp owners and camp hunters. A lack of understanding about this large segment of Pennsylvania hunters was revealed with comments like "Come on, give me a break. What does it take to open up a camp? Unlock the deer and turn on the lights."

Commissioner Foradora stated, "Hunters just want to get to camp Saturday to sit around and party."

The needs and traditions of camp hunters were totally misrepresented. The drinking comment was beyond the pale and insulted every serious hunter. Hunters have fought that stereotype for decades. It's bad enough we endure these types of insults from nonhunters. Hearing them from agency board members is a true slap in the face.

My mentioning these circumstances is not from a malicious intent but to reveal to legislators how badly the Saturday open decision process was handled. I can forward the link to this meeting to any legislator that cares to see this troubling board discussion.

The January 2019 quarterly commissioners' meeting followed. The board voted unanimously 8 to 0 to change the most popular opening day season structure of the most hunted Pennsylvania game animal, the whitetail deer.

Deer hunting influences 90 percent of Pennsylvania hunting license sales. Hunting drives well over \$100 million in state and local tax revenue. It contributes to tens of thousands of jobs and provided revenue for thousands of Pennsylvania businesses. You would think a state agency would have done a regulatory impact analysis on a change affecting so much and so many. The balance of my testimony identifies the results from this haphazard decisionmaking.

The next formal meeting of the Board of Commissioners was in March 2019. The staff members, Dr. Jagnow -- she was a Human Dimension specialist -- and Derek Stoner, Hunting Outreach specialist, presented survey science data to the board boasting a 95 percent confidence level.

Categories like returning lapsed hunters with a Saturday opener, identifying the primary reason for hunters lapsing, and college-aged student preferences were the topics researched.

These board assumptions were deemed unlikely to completely refuted from these staff specialists.

Hunter opposition rolled in.

Approximately 1,300 hunters contacted the agency.

Eighty-one percent of those contacts opposed changing the opening day.

Seeing the hunter opposition and staff data ignored by the board, which are several of the guidelines commissioners are remanded to follow by their policy manual, and experiencing contacts with hundreds of hunters strongly aligned in opposition, I moved forward collecting data. A very telling vote was taken at a meeting being held about CWD at the East Freedom Fire Hall near Altoona March 9, 2019.

Now, if you look at your packet there, there are two photos. One is marked No. 1 and one is marked No. 2.

The question was asked, there were approximately 280 hunters there, men and women -- mostly men but some women hunters also -- "How many here do not want to see the opening day of the deer season changed?" Committee members referenced the photo marked with the 1. Now look at the one No. 2. That was the inverse of that question

asking who wanted the Saturday opener. In that particular circumstance, a picture is worth a thousand words. It was practically unanimous out of 280 hunters that nobody wanted this.

understood how the Saturday opener would affect thousands of small businesses in the northern half of our state. I contacted sporting goods stores that sold hunting licenses and related goods.

Basically I was looking for a barometer that would be credible to show the position the hunters really were in because it was ignored from the board completely.

Legislators, I sent the results of the small but telling survey to your offices and to the PGC commissioners. Several of these business owners also claimed neighboring businesses that hunters annually patronize experience the same situation. And they also identified strong opposition from hunters for changing the opener and from the business owners, comments like "I've never heard so much anger over a new change" and "what a mess they made of this" came from these business owners.

This survey included Pennsylvania's

2.0

2.1

largest private-owned gun sales store, Grice's Gun Shop, down to small stores like Paul's Trading Post in Kane, Pennsylvania. Collective losses from these ten sample businesses that weekend exceeded \$360,000. The largest one there was obviously Grice's Gun Shop. He was around \$206,000, but the other nine businesses made up quite a bit themselves at \$30,000, \$35,000, \$40,000 a clip of money they lost that weekend in sales because it just dried up, completely blindsided them.

2.0

2.1

I tried to encompass a broad scope of business sizes in the survey. I also included to you the letter from Senator Scott Hutchinson to the Game Commission identifying the same extreme sales losses in his district.

"These small businesses already have a tough time competing and, "I'm quoting him, "I'm afraid that this could be the straw that breaks the camel's back and they may be forced to shut their doors."

In addition, I know of two local fire departments whose large weekend fundraising raffles were hit hard by the change-up of the opening day.

And I implore you to read the senator's letter regarding his district.

The economic impact of changing the deer opener was crystal clear to those of us understanding hunter patronage of these business throughout this weekend. The Game Commission Board of Commissioners did no research, nor even mentioned this critical aspect in their decisionmaking. To this day, there's no concern or recognition of this circumstance. In fact, they are proposing putting a Sunday following the Saturday which will further hurt these businesses impacted from hunter patronage.

2.0

2.1

Recently in an article in the York
Dispatch, President Charlie Fox, who is now board
president, was interviewed, and he commented
that -- his testimony was that he had ten
businesses that he had correspondence that, which
again, these businesses were the most profitable
they had that weekend. My testimony from these ten
businesses, and he referred to these businesses
that I gave information, were "claims." And,
Legislators, once again, the PDC dismissing letters
from business owners offering to open up their
books to substantiate their losses are not claims;
they're facts. And this kind of bothered me. You
know, I made the effort to pull this all in, and it

just got dismissed as claims and it was not that.

These businesses will open their books to show you

the impact from that weekend.

2.0

2.1

May I appeal to your common sense reasoning? Would guiding over a half million hunters away from their families and into the woods on this Saturday, Small Business Saturday nonetheless, stimulate business patronage or reduce it? Of course, maybe these hunters were shopping from their cellphones. These days, you never know. You can see the contemptuous dismissal toward me and the facts presented surrounding this issue. This overlooked economic oversight by the agency seems to have struck a nerve that they had never contemplated what this was going to do.

Now, to explain this, this is different depending what part of the state you're in, and I can try to identify that. The windfall of sales from hunter impulse buying or otherwise that came in this weekend, particularly in small rural businesses, helps carry them through the winter until spring and trout season when camp owners and fishermen come out of hibernation. Camp and seasonal home communities are mostly ghost towns in this period, but business operating costs remain

alive and this lost revenue is gone and does not come back.

2.0

2.1

why all this emphasis to bring in some of the college student license sales and inconvenience countless Pennsylvania hunters now needing to juggle family member Thanksgiving-visit scenarios and killing needed time for travel, preparation, and tradition for approximately 200,000 camp hunters and hunters statewide for that matter? This attempt to somehow create time by putting in the Saturday did completely the opposite. The traditions and -- you know, people procrastinate and leave things to the last minute, and hunters are no different. If you've ever tried to get on the shooting range on that weekend, you can't do it.

So hunters at this point realized right after Thanksgiving they had Friday and that was it and they had to be in the woods the next morning. The main word I heard a lot of guys that were even on the fence about this, they didn't like it after the fact because it became too rushed. Everything just got too hectic.

The Saturday opener inflicted millions of dollars of losses in rural areas to businesses

already stretched thin. The Saturday opener opposition stands strong statewide, but I cannot stress strongly enough just the stimulus that our camp culture brings year round to a large portion of the state's rural economy through taxes, utilities, maintenance in and around these small rural towns. The Saturday opener will be the last nail in the coffin to some businesses and damaging to our still popular Pennsylvania camp tradition.

If you feel the PDC has no responsibility in its decisionmaking towards the state's economy, license sales reflected minimal interests from the Saturday opener which I want to address.

A recent Game Commission press release was titled "License Sales Up After Deer Opener." This claim is misleading at best, intentionally misleading at worst. Let me explain.

Annual license sales are a fluctuating entity. Why a hunter chooses to buy a license and decides to lapse is mostly unpredictable and influenced by many factors annually. Please keep this mercurial license sale aspect in mind as I move forward.

If you would take the packet stapled

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

together marked No. 3 in the top right corner, you'll see a bar graph there that was presented by Dr. Jagnow at the Game Commission meeting in January. If you see the parts that I have marked, you'll see a cyclic circumstance from '83 to '85, a drop in three years and in '86 an uptake of .31. Nearly identically ten years later, '93 to '95, a drop in license sales and in '96 an uptake of .48 percent. Nearly 10, 11 years later, the same '05 and '07, three years of consecutive thing: significant drop of license sales. Then it went back up, .56 percent. And if you look at today, we have '16 to '18 and they were up in 2019 .4 percent. What this is trying to make clear is, license sales can correct themselves for no apparent reason at all. So keep that in mind as I move forward with my testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

When multiple variables are introduced in hunting seasons, it becomes difficult, if not impossible, to claim any single variable's impact or the degree of impact on license sales. Also, from 2008 to '18, these sales increased five of the 11 years 45 percent of the time.

Now, if you'll notice, 3-A is one of the other PowerPoint slides, and if you notice, it was

reported that 13 times in 36 years. You know, stretching it out there, it looked like 36.1 percent. But more poignantly, if you pull that down to the last 11 years, that increases to 45.4 percent. So it seems to me, why would you not have picked the most current stage of the license sales? It shows in the last 11 years almost half the time they have changed. They went up. So again, it shows that mercurial up-and-down aspect of license sales that's relatively unpredictable.

2.0

2.1

This past year we saw a lot of changes in seasons, a tremendous amount of changes. Late archery and flintlock deer seasons were extended by more than a week; we created a new October muzzleloader seven-day bear season that included two Saturdays; a new 12-day statewide archery bear season; a new three-day special firearm season, including one Saturday; a new September elk archery season; a new January elk season.

The point being made here is, there were several factors introduced at the same time as the Saturday opener. So basically there was a huge interest in results from some of these changes, none of which were influenced likely by the Saturday opener.

Three new bear seasons were very popular and a huge success and yielded the largest bear harvest in Pennsylvania history. When you combine that aspect with all the season changes likely impacting license sales, combined with truthfully analyzing license sales categories, there's no possible way to trumpet the Saturday opener other than just a minor factor. But the PGC and some outdoor writers are not representing the facts accurately. Remember, the mercurial or incidental aspect of license sales happened in five of 11 years. So when you combine that with some of the competition that the Saturday opener had this year, which was unlike any other prior year, particularly the bear season changes, you know, it's a lot of interest that could have stimulated license sales.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Now, when we go further into this, resident and nonresident bear licenses increased by a whopping 27,174 licenses. How many of those licenses -- now, those are not part of the general license sales. Those are after the totals are sent and stood up. These are permits and extra licenses. So you had an interest in 27,174 more hunters for bear hunting than last year. How much did that stimulate license sales?

Resident and nonresident muzzleloader sales increased by 5,182 over last year. Resident and nonresident archery licenses increased by 6,022 last year. Adult and junior pheasant permit sales are up 3,551 over last year. It would be a stretch to tie any one of these categories to the Saturday opener. These are actual circumstances that

happened from changes of seasons and opportunity.

2.0

2.1

So when you look at the total of that, there was 58,551 attributed to general -- that were not attached to general license sales but were over and above the sales of these categories last year. So what portion of that stimulated guys to not lapse? To get an interest this year? I think the one thing here that was really interesting was the elk license sales. You know, they brought in 15 archery license tags this year. There was an interest. 16,622 people applied for those licenses. So again, how much of that stimulated license sales prior to the season?

There was an effort -- now, if I go to the license sales categories, it's kind of interesting because the categories that were targeted by the Saturday opener just didn't happen. Four junior license sales categories: There's a

resident, nonresident, and combo in each one of those. The combined totals of those dropped by 2,613. We stimulated almost no -- well, no license sales. They dropped. The adult license category also dropped by 4,292 licenses over last year. We didn't stimulate adult license sales.

2.0

2.1

The part now that's very interesting to me, if you look at the No. 5 article -- I kind of jumped over one thing here, too. Let me back up just a step.

If you look at No. 4, the paper marked No. 4 at the top right corner, you see this category. This is the resident senior combo renewal. These are the old fellows renewing their licenses under the combo license sales. If you notice, this category has increased steadily for the past one, two, three, four, five, ten years, and they have increased between three -- approximately 3,000 to 4,000 licenses a year. There's no reason to think that that wasn't going to increase again this year. It's been almost identical for over the past nine or ten years.

So when you take this amount of license sales, 3,769, you take that off of today's, this year's total, the increase in license sales this

year is gone. You're in the red. The .4 increase now has disappeared because this category has nothing to do with the Saturday opener. So the .4 percent increase that everybody keeps touting as we did something this year, it's gone with this one category.

2.0

2.1

Now, if you look at No. 5 presentation, the two pages, there were two PowerPoints presented at that January meeting: resident hunters 18 to 34; resident hunters 18 to 21. Now, if you notice the header on those, "Saturday Opener." Again, we're trying to sell something here that's just not accurate. I'm going to read this entirely here.

"The two attached documents from PGC
January 2019 commissioners' meeting reveal how
staff summation of license sales were intentionally
deceptive. Titling these categories from the 18 to
34 year old and the 18 to 21 year old Saturday
opener is misleading. These categories were the
only categories that saw a license increase for
younger hunters."

Remember, the four youth categories were all still behind from last year.

"The increase over 2018 was so miniscule, there was this effort to spin the sales

from the day before and the week before the Saturday opener, the shiny distracting object. Choosing this dynamic presented a higher percentage of increase rather than identify the paltry total license sales."

2.0

2.1

It was such a small increase, they had to find another way to make it look bigger than what it actually was.

"Only 1,079 additional licenses were sold to this group. This small increase represented .13 percent or about 1/10 of the total sales and only a .56 percent increase in this category over 2018."

Now, if I cut to the chase, you look at the 18- to 30-year-old sales, 1,079 licenses, that was it between the 18- to 34-year-old license group that was increased over last year.

If you notice, the 18- to 21-year-old sales is 1,067. They overlap. When you subtract the latter from the former, we only sold 12 more licenses to the 22- to 34-year-old age group statewide. Twelve hunting licenses.

Now, if you want to understand the significance of that, one of the points the commissioners made in their meeting was hunters

couldn't get off. Young hunters couldn't get off. They don't have vacation. They don't have enough time to take off. The commissioners speculated the Saturday opener would benefit this 22- to 34-year-old age group. Young hunters with new jobs and less vacation would likely have the most difficulty taking off work for the Monday opener. It did not happen. This result shows once again the Monday opener was and is not an issue. We only sold 12 licenses to that category which accurately represented your younger workers.

2.0

2.1

If a cost/benefit analysis was done at the Saturday opener proposal prior or post implementation, it holds no water. The agency jumped over dollars to pick up a few pennies, and to influence the Sunday hunting bill, it was a good "segway" I believe was the term the commissioners used in their meeting.

Undeniably, millions of dollars of revenue across large areas of our state were gone or displaced. Thousands of small to medium businesses need this repaired. The PGC cannot even 100 percent solidly identify what portion of the paltry license sales increase came from the Saturday opener. What that means is that 1,079

license sales, that increase, we don't know what drove those there. The success of the bear season could have been half of them. So a portion, certainly, could be claimed by the Saturday opener, but what portion we won't ever know.

So if you look at the total even of that 1,079, that in and of itself, to compare that to the damage this did across this state to traditions, to camp owners, to small business, to nonprofit, the fire departments and churches that used the influx of hunters into these small towns to make money for their nonprofit organizations was gone.

As a matter of fact, I received a letter, and you see Senator Hutchinson identifies that in his letter regarding the nonprofits. This came yesterday by the Tidioute Volunteer Fire Department which is up near my camp. I had talked to them months ago. This came to me yesterday.

"The Tidioute Area Volunteer Fire

Department has held our largest fundraiser on

Saturday after Thanksgiving, a fall gun raffle.

This event has raised funds for operations for the duration of the winter months."

There it is again. It's a big hit to

2.0

2.1

these people when they lose these fundraisers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

"For this fundraiser to be successful, our department depends on the attendance of the area hunters for this event. The recent changes made to opening deer season on Saturday as opposed to Monday disrupted the natural order of the weekend. Typically many hunters spend Thanksgiving with their family and head up to the camps on Friday. Friday would consist of opening up camp, getting settled, and gathering supplies. So on a Saturday night in our area, these hunters would unwind at the fundraiser event with drinks, food, entertainment, and a chance of winning one of the prizes. They went on to say here that our fall gun raffle attendance was less than half of what it has been in previous years.

So the aspect of this isn't only the small businesses, the medium businesses, it's the nonprofits, our first responders, churches, fire halls. They all took advantage of that influx.

So when we look at this thing now, most schools still give off to accommodate for the first day of deer season. Can we remember being young, you know, and how much to us -- that we can reverse this shortsighted thing before we lose that Monday

off for the kids, too. You know, that was the perception from people outside of our hunting community that hunting was still important. So we lost that, too, if this doesn't get reversed.

But ultimately what I would like to suggest as a compromise is that we go back to the Monday opener, correct all the problems that the Saturday opener just created, put the Sunday after this first traditional Saturday, the week after the Monday opener, so you can hunt the 13 days through. This corrects everything basically. And if anybody thinks that this has changed, it hasn't, because some of the recent -- here are some recent petitions just ran at the Harrisburg show that the guys put out at our Unified booth. And they're running -- well, there was an Altoona show that was running 3 to 1 still opposed and the Harrisburg show was running 56 opposed to 13 yes. So 4 to 1 on these petitions to this day hunters are still opposed to the change to Saturday.

Thank you.

MR. ECKLER: Thank you for your testimony. I want to take a second here to let Representative Wentling introduce himself.

MR. WENTLING: My name is

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

Parke Wentling. I'm the state representative in the 17th Legislative District which includes parts of Erie, Crawford, Mercer, and Lawrence Counties. So thanks for having me.

MR. SANTUCCI: Thank you for coming.

MR. ECKLER: Great. And we're going to hold questions until the end, so don't go too far.

MR. SANTUCCI: Sure.

2.0

2.1

MR. ECKLER: At this time I would like to welcome up Mr. Daub, the executive director of the Pennsylvania Federation of Sportsmen and Conservationists.

Mr. Daub, whenever you're ready.

MR. DAUB: All right, thank you. I don't usually move this slow. I injured my back a couple of days ago.

Chairman Gillespie, Representative

Eckler, Chairman Kortz, and members of the

committee, I am Harold Daub, Executive Director of

the Pennsylvania Federation of Sportsmen and

Conservationists, the voice of Pennsylvania's

conservationists since 1932. Thank you for the

opportunity to share our thoughts related to

conservation, wildlife management, our outdoor

heritage, and specifically the Saturday opening day

of rifle deer season.

2.0

2.1

The PFSC appreciates any effort that provides additional opportunities for Pennsylvania's hunters-conservationists. One of these recent efforts was the move to change the long-time Monday opener to a Saturday opener for the rifle deer season. This move has been controversial and, hence, why we are here today.

Change is often hard and not often easily accepted by Pennsylvanians who cherish our traditions. However, just because we may not be happy with the change, it doesn't mean the change isn't necessary. Like Bud, I have a bit of a quandary here when I surveyed our membership.

when the proposal for a Saturday opener was first introduced last year, 61 percent of our clubs who responded to our survey opposed the change. However, 70 percent of our individual members who responded did support the change. When asked why some opposed the change, the general response typically was, they referenced tradition.

We are now again polling our members on their opinions following the implementation of the Saturday opener, the proposal to keep the Saturday opener, and the additional opportunity of adding

the coinciding Sunday. So far, the feedback has been mostly positive, and many Pennsylvania hunters are looking forward to having a full weekend of deer hunting opportunity in the upcoming season. Our members have until the March 15, 2020 spring conference to weigh in with their opinions. At that time, all the votes will be tabulated and shared with our board of directors and delegates, and then I will be sharing our position with the Pennsylvania Board of Commissioners at the April 6 meeting.

We acknowledge the Saturday opener of the 2019-2020 rifle deer season has been controversial, and it did present challenges to some of our members who traveled to camp. But we've also heard stories from members who were excited to be able to hunt with their school- and college-aged kids and some who, because of work priorities, were ecstatic to be able to hunt on opening day for the first time in many years. The Saturday opener gave them an extra day to hunt. The Saturday opener was especially important for those who only had the weekend to hunt.

The Governor's Youth Council for Hunting, Fishing and Conservation provided a

2.0

2.1

statement -- and it's included in my package that hopefully all of you have received -- on the Saturday opener to the PGC when they spoke on January 25th at the Game Commission meeting. I thought these paragraphs warranted highlighting.

2.0

2.1

"Most postsecondary institutions end the Thanksgiving break on the following Monday, further eliminating opportunities for college kids to go hunting. Remember, too, that for students who travel great distances to return to college, Saturday is likely the only full day for hunting available on the one weekend that they have off.

"In 1963, the Game Commission moved the opener from December 3rd to the Monday after Thanksgiving. Traditionalists, though ruffled at first, quickly evolved to accommodate this advantageous change. Old traditions seamlessly meld into new ones with time. Starting the season on Saturday will allow people of all ages, occupations and backgrounds to participate in making timeless hunting memories."

That testimony was given by
Ms. Emma Olney, who is the president of the
Governor's Youth Council for Hunting, Fishing and
Conservation.

American Outdoor Show earlier this month, I
performed a very unscientific one-day ad hoc poll
regarding the Saturday rifle opener. I asked
attendees passing by my booth if they were
Pennsylvania deer hunters. If the answer was yes,
I asked Saturday opener: yes, no or undecided? I
had 108 people that I surveyed: 75 people
supported the Saturday opener, 22 people opposed,
and 11 responded as undecided.

2.0

2.1

I've also conducted an all-access Facebook poll. We had more than a thousand respondents, and 65 percent of those were in support of the Saturday opener.

Regardless of the final results of these polls and the official position that we will ultimately take, the Federation is adamant that the opening day and length of all seasons are wildlife management regulatory issues dealing with seasons and bag limits. Thus, they are decisions that we believe are and should remain under the regulatory authority of the subject matter experts, the Pennsylvania Game Commission. We will oppose any legislative attempts to mandate wildlife management decisions via legislation. And I did a recent poll

about that question, and with over 700 respondents, 92 percent indicated they did not want a legislative answer to this issue. They would rather have it be decided by the Game Commission.

2.0

2.1

The Pennsylvania Game Commission is entrusted with the management of the state's nearly 500 species of wildlife. This includes the 67 species that we hunt. The ability to set seasons and bag limits is a crucial part of the management process. The Pennsylvania Federation of Sportsmen and Conservationists supports the science based and led resource first model and the process of the Pennsylvania Game Commission. Any other system or interference threatens the management of Pennsylvania's wild birds, wild mammals, and their habitats for current and future generations.

Recent changes in longstanding
management practices, while sometimes hard to
accept at first, allow for maximum opportunity for
Pennsylvania hunters and have led to an increase in
license sales this year. Increases in license
sales mean more overall Pittman-Robertson funding
coming to Pennsylvania. It also means more general
revenue from licenses. Both sources translate into
more funds for the Commission to use for habitat

and species projects and R3 -- recruiting, retention and reactivation -- initiatives across the state.

2.0

2.1

The Commission's regulatory authority, while we may not always agree with every decision the Commission makes, it is our opinion that the current Board of Commissioners does a great job overall of managing Pennsylvania's wildlife using a science-based resource-first decisionmaking process.

A prime example took place during the recent January public comment session where an individual spoke about the history of raccoon hunting season. He expressed his concern that years ago the raccoon season started October 1st. And then as raccoon pelts became more valuable, more people were trapping, more people were hunting them, the Game Commission took action to reduce that season, to shrink the season. And he asked the question, now that not many people are trapping, not many people are hunting raccoons, how come that season didn't expand? It was very interesting to me to hear that, and then later on in the meeting, one of the commissioners brought that up and said, "I think that was something we

ought to have the staff look at." And that was a good example to how they are hearing the public and making decisions, but they are considering the resource first.

2.0

2.1

As older hunters age out of the license-buying system, the Commission must be able to utilize new ideas to keep the next generation of hunters engaged. The Federation's board of directors understand that some of these changes may not always make everyone happy and may sometimes shake things up and cause us to try new traditions. We understand there will be times it won't always be about what's best for me but, instead, what's best for the resource and the overall best interest for the continuation of our outdoor heritage.

Based on current feedback and increase in license sales, specifically the sales referenced on the day before the opener, we believe this may be one of those times, I believe this may be one of those times.

I thank you again for this opportunity.

I'm honored to speak on behalf of the Federation

and as a conservation community united behind the

commitment to provide science based and led

wildlife management for the benefit of all

Pennsylvania wildlife citizens and visitors.

I thank you for your time to have me out here, Bud.

Thank you.

2.0

2.1

MR. ECKLER: Thank you, Mr. Daub. And again, we're going to save questions for the end here, so like I said before, don't go too far.

At this time I'd like to introduce

Bryan Burhans, the Executive Director of the

Pennsylvania Game Commission.

Come on up.

MR. BURHANS: Chairman Kortz, Committee

Members, my name is Bryan Burhans, Executive

Director for the Pennsylvania Game Commission. I

would like to invite to provide our testimony -- we

are managed or governed by an eight-member board of

directors who set policy for the agency -- so I'd

like to introduce Commissioner Denny Fredericks who

will provide our testimony.

MR. FREDERICKS: Thank you, Bryan.

Good afternoon, Chairman Gillespie, or thank you, Chairman Gillespie -- I'm sorry he couldn't be here -- Chairman Kortz, members of the House Game & Fisheries Committee. My name is Dennis Fredericks, and I am the commissioner for

District 2 which encompasses Allegheny, Armstrong, Beaver, Fayette, Greene, Indiana, Washington, and Westmoreland Counties. On behalf of the entire Board of Commissioners and the agency, I want to thank you for the opportunity to come before you to talk about last year's decision to move the opening day of the rifle deer season to Saturday.

2.0

2.1

It is important to remember that the Pennsylvania Game Commission exists to protect 480 species of wild birds and wild mammals in their habitats for current and future generations. The last part is especially important and one aspect that I believe yet overlooked quite often. As your state wildlife conservation agency, we are tasked with managing for the present and the future. Additionally, it is important to note that by law we are required to manage all of those species for everyone, hunters and nonhunters alike.

As you know, the Pennsylvania Game
Commission receives no general fund tax dollars.
We rely on three major sources of funding: natural resource management (oil, gas, coal, timber); and Pittman-Robertson federal excise tax revenue from sporting goods; and hunting and trapping license sales.

The Pittman-Robertson funds are intricately tied to license sales. Essentially, the more licenses we sell, the more federal funds we receive and are able to draw down and put toward any number of initiatives that benefit wildlife and hunting here in Pennsylvania.

The license year begins July 1st and it runs through June 30th. While I cannot tell you what the final license sales numbers will be on June 30th, I can tell you what they look like through January 31st. Our year-to-date sales of licenses have increased over the last year. This is significant. As of January 31st, general license sales have increased by 3,618 over last year to date.

On the surface, 3618 additional hunters may not seem significant, but we can look at some other states for comparison. Through December 31st, New York was down 2 percent. Michigan was down 3 percent. Ohio who was down 8 percent. So not only did we see an increase, we did so at a time when other big hunting states were losing license buyers.

Nationally, hunting license sales have been on a decline for decades. In Pennsylvania,

hunting license sales peaked in the very early '80s and have generally been declining since. There have been a few small increases along the way, but for the most part they are declining. Countless surveys and research projects have been done, not only in Pennsylvania but nationally, to determine the cause of this decline. Through all this work, overwhelmingly the No. 1 reason that people do not purchase a license is lack of time.

2.0

2.1

The logical remedy for this would be to create additional opportunities for hunters and trappers. However, it is important to balance the needs of the resource with hunting opportunities. With that in mind, finding additional opportunities for hunters to take afield is very difficult and limited. We have to be strategic about those additional opportunities. Just randomly throwing extra days on the end of the season or adding some obscure extra season are not likely to work. We have to take advantage of what we know regarding hunter tendencies, buying behaviors, and make the best decision we can with that information.

For example, we know that the average age of a deer hunter in Pennsylvania is 54 years old. That's troubling. In just a few years, those

hunters are going to age out of the yearly license buyer and into the lifetime license class of which, of course, they don't pay. We have to find a way to get and keep the younger generation involved.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

we know that we lose a considerable number of license buyers from the age of 18 to 30. It makes sense. Kids hunt with parents consistently through the time they graduate from high school. At that point they are off to college, trade schools, military, workforce, you name it. They are starting their lives as adults: first jobs, starting families, buying houses. Their time is exceptionally limited. They are dealing with being lowest on the seniority list for time off, if they even have vacation days to take. They are dealing with getting back to school for class and finals. They don't want to have to make that difficult decision. In a lot of cases it's made for them. Randomly throwing another week of rifle deer season isn't going to help those folks.

We know that we are losing hunters to other states, and it's not because they have better hunting. Make no mistake, Pennsylvania has world-class hunting, whether you are pursuing deer, bear, turkey, elk, or a myriad of other species. We know

we're losing them because of opportunities to hunt based on, again, time. Time available. We have heard from PA residents that are going to New York and Ohio because they are able to hunt the whole weekend. Likewise, we hear from hunters in other states that we'd love to come hunt Pennsylvania if they were able to hunt the entire weekend. We also know that we have a very limited number of huntable days that will help fill this void.

We've heard the point made regarding the survey that was done a couple of years ago which showed that hunters preferred the opening day to be on Monday. The issue with the survey was in the questioning. It was like asking whether you preferred steak or lobster. I may prefer lobster, but I also like steak.

I can tell you that the response that we have seen in regard to the Saturday opener has been overwhelmingly positive. We have heard countless stories of how college students were able to hunt with their families for the first time in years, reliving those traditions of years past, how former Pennsylvania residents were able to come back and hunt in the same area they did years ago.

We've heard about the parents with young

2.0

2.1

families that had the opportunity to not only hunt with their children but have precious additional time with them. Yes, we have heard some traditions being impacted, but we have also heard the incredible stories of new traditions that have been started.

2.0

2.1

I'm sure that at some point you have heard someone say that the Game Commission just wants to get rid of hunters altogether, or the other conspiracy theory you may hear is that we just want to eradicate deer. I am telling you that cannot be further from the truth. The Pennsylvania Game Commission is filled with passionate lifelong hunters who continue to work in the best interest of all citizens of this great Commonwealth.

The truth of the matter is that the future of conservation in Pennsylvania relies on hunters and trappers continuing to participate. We have to be open to idea that will buck the trend of declining license sales. Time will tell if this was the right move, but in a vacuum it appears that moving to a Saturday opener has moved the needle in a positive direction with respect to hunter participation, and that is a godsend for conservation in general.

Thank you again for the opportunity to 1 come here today. I would be happy to take any 2 3 questions that you may have. Thank you, Mr. Fredericks. MR. ECKLER: 4 At this time we're going to take 5 questions. So those folks that spoke before, stand 6 7 by. First I'm going to go to Representative Maloney. 8 9 MR. MALONEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. How do you like that? 10 (Laughter.) 11 MR. ECKLER: Not bad for a freshman. 12 MR. MALONEY: I'm not so sure that's a 13 super honor today. 14 But thank you, Gentlemen, for being 15 I do have a series of questions that no 16 doubt will have to be answered by individuals. 17 But, Mr. Fredericks, since you're 18 sitting there, you know, I have to tell you, I'm 19 hearing a plethora of different numbers today and, 2.0 frankly, probably more research on license sales 2.1 from the first testifier than I've ever heard. 22 23 They're your numbers though; right? got them from the Game Commission; right? 24 Bryan is shaking his head. 25

MR. BURHANS: I assume he did.

2.0

2.1

MR. MALONEY: So there's a little bit of a discrepancy here. Take a couple of digits here or there maybe, but I think what troubles me in a general sense is, you do, as others have done in the past, use the responsibility of the Game Commission to the 480 species. But I will also remind you, in Title 34, quite frankly this room is also filled, no doubt, with sportsmen which is what the Commission is in priority to serve. And, quite frankly, it's the sportsmen who purchase the licenses.

So we know you have a duty, as many of us enjoy many nongame animals also, but I would also like to remind you that that is to be your No. 1 priority, even as you stated with the money.

So having said that, going back some years, since I do happen to have quite a bit of history as a hunter and sportsman, trapper and whatnot, there has always been a question about the product and what is being managed and how it's being managed. I often bring up our state bird, and many times it's blamed on West Nile virus.

And, quite frankly, every national organization that I have rubbed shoulders with have said it's

about habitat.

2.0

2.1

And so for me, when we're just here today mostly on the Saturday opener, you did reference things like steak and lobster, which I'm not so sure that applies. But nevertheless, that's your analogy and that's okay. I'm going to share -- or I'll ask you this question. Do you believe that, when you say it was overwhelmingly positive, the upheaval that was created even within the ranks of the commissioners was positive?

MR. FREDERICKS: Are you asking me was the juice worth the squeeze?

MR. MALONEY: No. I'm asking you what I just asked you. I'm asking you was that positive according to your testimony?

MR. FREDERICKS: I mean I'm not sure.

MR. MALONEY: About the commissioner that resigned over this subject we're talking about today.

MR. FREDERICKS: I feel very comfortable in telling you -- I've been at this game a long time, as you have. I served as commissioner under Governor Ridge in '91. I'm serving my second term. I've seen a lot of controversial issues come and go. Among our current board, I can look you dead

in the eye and tell you that that was a very hard courageous decision for each one of them to make. And honestly, some of those commissioners didn't know what their vote was going to be until the very last second.

2.0

2.1

As far as the upheaval you described, the only upheaval there was, was you had seven board members of which the Saturday opener wasn't even on their radar at all, including me, and then you had one commissioner that thought that that might be a good idea, and he brought it up in the name of the children because he's a lifelong hunter education instructor. That's the reason he brought it up.

when he started to see the negativism coming in, which we all did, but frankly Chairman Gillespie and Chairman Kortz called us in and we told them the truth. We did our due diligence, and we all went home to our respective districts and I talked to sportsmen's clubs and conservation leagues and high school students, college students.

I have a camp in Potter County. I traveled all over Potter County. Did not identify myself. I did not tell them who I was. I talked to business owners, motel owners, hunters in the

woods. And I have to say, Representative Maloney, that the vast majority of comment that I got back was apathy. Most of the comment was apathetic. It doesn't matter to me. I'm a hunter. Open deer season on a Wednesday. I'll find a way to get there. I understand that you need to do this for the young people, and I don't like it. I don't like it.

2.0

2.1

But I want to be clear that there was no disruption or upheaval among the board other than Commissioner Daley leaving under these conditions.

MR. MALONEY: Well, that's upheaval. I mean he is the individual that you refer to as the man who proposed this.

MR. FREDERICKS: Yes.

MR. MALONEY: And after he saw what the outcry was, he was so strongly against it that when that vote took place, he couldn't bear it and left.

MR. FREDERICKS: Obviously, I mean, that would be the reason he would leave.

MR. MALONEY: Yeah. So I think I had to explain that because I'm not so sure that maybe we have the same definition for upheaval. And since you've served several times -- and thank you for that -- you would no doubt remember that there are

commissioners who bucked the board on just mere management.

MR. FREDERICKS: Sure.

2.0

2.1

MR. MALONEY: And so there have been some things that have taken place with respect to what you would do and the decisions that you would make.

I would also tell you that even your own -- I think what bothers me is, when we hear these numbers, and even twice, was the fact that hunters in their numbers didn't want this; 61 percent, 81 percent, whatever that was. And I know a commissioner back home in a private meeting used the 65 figure. I said, Sir, in our world that's a landslide even if you don't use the 81 percent, and he said, Well, I would agree.

And so I guess just steering this to you, I guess really my question really would be in my mind about how we make those decisions and maybe we find out that they shouldn't have been. And even to say to have a full weekend, I think the testifier before brought out the point that you could have that full weekend very easily with a Sunday the very following weekend. So it's an option. I mean this is something that you could

change.

2.0

2.1

MR. FREDERICKS: Sure.

MR. MALONEY: Something that you could go forward.

And not to leave out the others with respect to this, but I had a text message sent to me from somebody that you all know, and I know you all know him, and I would just like to tell you that this individual was so taken aback by the decision that what he texted me was, "I now just lost my opportunity to hunt the opening day. It's Thanksgiving weekend." Quote, "People are going to get divorced over this." That's how serious this individual took this. And I assure you probably everybody in this room knows who that is. And I would never identify somebody, but that's a text message that came to me almost immediately after you folks made the vote. So it's a serious matter.

MR. FREDERICKS: Pennsylvanians are serious hunters.

MR. MALONEY: Correct. And also, some of us serve on the tourism committee, and tourism is the second biggest industry in Pennsylvania, which a lot of people don't understand that, and the tourism piece to this is also intertwined with

tradition.

2.0

2.1

So just from an objective point of view looking back -- and I've often told many people that I like to use my hunting and background as experience -- but I try to separate myself as a legislator as to the voice of the people, in which I will say rather sharply again to Mr. Daub, if you think taking the voice of the legislature, which is the people, out of this Democratic process, then you might as well go to a communist country.

We. Are. The. Voice. It insults me, it insults the sportsmen, and it insults everybody who goes to their representative about an issue or a board that is senate confirmed. I don't know what you don't understand about that. You must go to the senate to be confirmed like that gentleman is. That's the legislature. It's insulting to continuously hear that we should not be in this process.

MR. FREDERICKS: May I add something?
MR. MALONEY: Sure.

MR. FREDERICKS: You mentioned tourism, and I know that Representative Cook is very interested in Pennsylvania tourism. You know, the increase in license sales that we have, although

they are very preliminary and we're not to the end 1 of the year yet, a substantial portion of those 2 license increases were nonresidents. 3 MR. MALONEY: I saw that. 4 5 MR. FREDERICKS: And for now it looks like people came home for Thanksgiving and were 6 able to purchase a license and hunt on Saturday. 7 MR. MALONEY: I saw that, and I don't 8 9 even want to try to dissect that. I really don't. MR. FREDERICKS: I'm not prepared 10 either. 11 MR. MALONEY: But my defense in 12 Pennsylvania in that respect would be, Pennsylvania 13 14 comes first. And I've always felt that a Pennsylvania resident should come first no matter 15 what the issue is. I don't care if it's a tag or 16 what it is. To me, Pennsylvania sportsmen come 17 first. 18 19 MR. FREDERICKS: I respect that, sir. MR. MALONEY: And I think you would get 2.0 2.1 that overwhelmingly. So that's all for now. Thank you. 22 MR. ECKLER: Thank you, Representative 23

Representative Cook.

Maloney.

24

MR. COOK: We do, as representatives, serve us, the people. Just a straw poll in the crowd. Pro Saturday.

(Hands raised.)

2.0

2.1

MR. COOK: Move it back to Monday.

(Hands raised.)

MR. COOK: Thank you.

Again, you know, the sportsmen here are in a very, very, very concentrated sportsmen area. I mean what's the position going forward? I mean do we have a position from the commission?

MR. FREDERICKS: Yeah. Our position is, as we promised to Chairman Gillespie and Chairman Kortz, we're in the middle of a comment period for seasons and bag limits for 2020. So we'll continue to take public comment. I have to turn my phone off because it's burning up on my side all day. I mean my e-mails are off the chart, and we're hearing from both sides and all entities.

So, you know, we are in the middle of a comment period. We promised both chairmen that we would, you know, review the results and make plans for next year, and I would encourage you all as representatives to please have your constituents let us know how they feel, and I would encourage

you as representatives to let us know. Let the 1 agency know. Let Josh Zimmerman, our legislative 2 liaison, let us know. 3 MR. COOK: Randy, would you like to --4 5 MR. SANTUCCI: Well, we did this last spring. You know, the sportsmen spoke out in 6 7 surveys and contacts to the agency. But addressing something, you know, when we talk about -- and I 8 9 know Commissioner Fredericks from serving on the council with him -- seven commissioners were 10 blind-sided he mentioned. 11 MR. ECKLER: Can you come up to the mic, 12 please? 13 14 MR. SANTUCCI: Thank you. MR. ECKLER: Mr. Daub, why don't you 15 pull a couple of seats up here, too, if you don't 16 mind. 17 MR. SANTUCCI: Yeah. Come on up. 18 19 MR. ECKLER: Mr. Daub, why don't you pop up here, too, if you don't mind. 2.0 2.1 MR. SANTUCCI: Come on up, Harold. Let's work this out. 22 23 You know, Denny mentioned that seven commissioners were blind-sided. There's a reason 24

for that. No one ever wanted this. No one ever

talked about this.

2.0

2.1

In my 60 years in this state as a resident hunter serving on the Governor's Council and supporting Unified Sportsmen across the state of PA, the next person that asked me that they were concerned about changing the opener will be the first before Jim Daley brought it up last year. This was a nonissue, and that's why -- you know, I understand people talk about changing a tradition, but if we're going to talk about the facts here, I think we have to talk about the truth.

when we talk about the college-aged kids -- and Bryan Burhans can validate this -- Dr. Jagnow came back and she surveyed 18- to 25- year-old hunters, student hunters, and the survey came back, she gave them four days to choose from: the Monday, the Saturday before, the Friday after Thanksgiving, and the Saturday before that. The majority from the group that she polled said they still wanted the Monday opener. There we heard it right from the college kids. And she went on to say that in conversations with this group, they said that they would miss class over a lot less reasons than the opening day of deer season. That was the surveyed science that was presented back to

the board.

2.0

2.1

So we keep running circles around what is and what isn't. We talked about the time factor. I heard that mentioned. Again, Dr. Jagnow did a 19-point survey of reasons why hunters lapsed. This is what put Jim Daley on his heels on this. When those points came back, they were separated by a few tenths of a point. They were so evenly distributed that they were statistically indistinguishable between a couple of the items. And she finished her presentation saying that hunters lapsed for a multitude of reasons. Time was certainly not a major factor.

So lapsing hunters and trying to create this fictitious time, we put the Saturday in with an attempt to create some time. And I heard it also testified about creating this time for younger professionals and people just coming out of school and starting jobs don't have vacation. You sold 12 licenses this year in the 22- to 34-year-old age group. Now, that might change by the end of the year, but up until my data until the end of December that I have from the agency, 12 licenses. So how much impact did this have? So how much concern was there from these (quote/unquote)

1 2

"younger individuals" that couldn't get off to go Monday? You think there would have been a rush of license sales because now gee, I can go Saturday. Didn't happen.

MR. MALONEY: So are you saying priority is probably what's the issue?

MR. SANTUCCI: Tradition, priority, the time factor within this weekend. And I don't begrudge the agency for trying something. I get that. And Jim Daley, God bless him, he floated something out there that blew up in his face, and I give him a lot of credit. To this day, he is working now to put this Monday opener back. That's a professional opinion. He's a good man.

But what we saw happen here, when you come back with license sales today that they're up, I think I pointed out prior that that one category of a senior combo, if you yank that out, which had nothing to do with Saturday, the license sales are in the red. So we didn't really go up.

You see the bar chart I showed you. The three years on cyclic, it almost looks like you made it up. The license sales have bounced back for no apparent reason after three years of consecutive significant losses.

So the license sales, to say that we brought -- the Saturday opener brought some license sales in, certainly. A portion. But I think the elephant in the room here is, at what cost?

MR. MALONEY: So are you saying, sir, just so everybody can understand this, are you saying that total license increases on multiple, I'll say, opportunity expansions is the bigger number that we're seeing compared to the subject we're discussing today?

MR. SANTUCCI: The bigger number that we saw that is trying to be claimed or -- you know, like I saw the press release from the agency, "License Sales Up After Saturday Opener." Now, if you read that, what do you think? But the ultimate truth is, you don't really know where license sales come in every year, and I think that bar graph shows you that. So when you take that into consideration that you can't lay your finger on it, certainly you can see some impact from the Saturday opener. Anything you do new, you get an interest.

MR. MALONEY: I guess it's also hard to say that you lost turkey hunters and small game on that day.

MR. SANTUCCI: We neglected to say that.

That was a popular small game day for small game and turkey hunters that Saturday which was taken away.

2.0

2.1

The dynamic of this continues to grow. We could slice this thing and layer this onion and it will keep unfolding, but when you look at the big picture here -- let's talk about license sales honestly -- we didn't do much. And if you're on tourism committees and so forth and if you read Senator Hutchinson's letter, what it did in his district and so forth, we may have brought a couple thousand hunters back with this through, you know, the younger guys/people. Maybe. We can't even say for sure how many of them weren't interested in the new bear season.

Because if you look at the week prior -and I don't know if you know how this happens -some legislators, we introduced the concurrent bear
and buck season the first week of the buck season
this year which was highly successful. Many
hunters sat there. Well, man, I seen bear hunting
opening week many times. I'm going to get my
license here and just go buck hunting and I might
luck into a bear. These type of things stimulate
interest, as did the elk tag, as did the early

muzzleloader season. Some of these stores that I surveyed said they sold a lot of muzzleloaders over this prior to the season. But the overall opinion of everybody that I've been circulating with in all of these businesses, if you read these letters that I sent to all of the legislators' offices, these businesses said most of the hunters that came into their store did not like this. Now, who do we use for a barometer? I don't know what better one.

2.0

2.1

So subsequently, you know, I understand there was an effort here to try to do something, but was this worth selling an extra thousand or two licenses? I just find a hard time understanding this.

Because you make the argument that I came in and got to hunting with my college-aged son or daughter this year, I can counter that with how many kids went to camp this year that lost people that come to their camp and their tradition was killed over this because a lot of guys couldn't get there. When they did get there, they were too rushed. They didn't have time to partake in scouting out in the woods, putting their tree stands up. This is hunting. This is what it's all about. It's not all about filling the tags as

Commissioner Konick (phonetic) said in a recent broadcast. "We're doing everything we can to fill hunters' tags." That's not the entirety of what hunting is about in Pennsylvania.

I've just gone on too long. Let me say one more thing. What concerns me is, I don't know if the legislators are heard, but there was a proposal this year to allow hunters to shoot as many deer as they have tags without tagging the first deer. Think about that for a minute. A hunter can sit in a tree stand with three or four tags, here comes some deer, he's got his Remington pump rifle, he can start blasting away, killing two, three, four deer, as many as six if he can get lucky enough to hit them or wound them. How do you propose something like that? What happened to fair chase and ethics and all that?

So the mindset, I'm having trouble with what we've done here. We've thrown tradition to the wolves. We've thrown the small businesses that were hurt from this, thousands of them, to the wolves. We sold a few thousand licenses maybe. That's the summary of the whole thing.

MR. FREDERICKS: May I respond?
MR. MALONEY: Sure, absolutely.

MR. FREDERICKS: With all due respect to Mr. Santucci's comments, I disagree with some of them and I agree with him on some of them. We have absolutely not forgotten the traditions. The entire staff and the entire board at the Game Commission has been forced into taking the mindset that traditions are to be celebrated, not forgotten, and we're working on ways to do that. That's No. 1.

No. 2, everybody keeps talking about this miniscule increase in license sales of 3.7 percent. In the business world when you're on a glide path of 3 and 4 percent loss for many, many years and all of a sudden you realize a .3 percent increase, that's a net 4 percent gain. So that's huge.

MR. MALONEY: For clarity on that, what is that percentage of? What number?

MR. FREDERICKS: I don't know, and it doesn't matter at this point. That's hunters.

That's general hunting license. That's 3,000 more hunters that bought hunting licenses this year than last year, and we are second only to Texas.

Everybody else around us has fallen by the wayside.

Now, is this solution permanent? Is it

2.0

2.1

liquid? Can we modify it? Certainly, yes. We can work with you, and we're willing to work with you. You know, let's see what the numbers really are. You know, this is early in the game. But there's no doubt in my mind and no doubt in the staff's mind that what this did was move the needle in a positive direction. Can we move the needle further by going to Monday, back to Monday? I don't know. But we're fulfilling our promise to Representative Kortz --

2.0

2.1

MR. MALONEY: Let me ask you a question on that because you bring up a good point. So if a proposal was made to go back to Monday and what you're talking about with concurrent seasons and you allow it to go through Saturday and Sunday into the next weekend and beyond until it ends in two weeks, do you think that could be a compromise to the end result?

MR. FREDERICKS: It could be. It could be. You know, again, we're in the middle of comment period and, you know --

MR. MALONEY: I think there is something very serious to consider, and I think tradition isn't just hunting tradition. I think it's in light of the text message that I got where people

are following Black Friday, they're following 1 Thanksgiving, they're following Small Business 2 Saturday, they're following all those things that 3 do keep our lives busy in tradition and family. 4 5 MR. FREDERICKS: Now, Representative Maloney, I know you're an avid hunter. I know you 6 7 are. Would you miss a hunting day for Black Friday shopping? 8 9 MR. MALONEY: I'm the exception to the rule. 10 (Laughter.) 11 MR. MALONEY: That's why I take myself 12 out of it. 13 MR. FREDERICKS: I can't tell you, 14 literally hundreds, hundreds of letters, How dare 15 you. 16 MR. MALONEY: I did not -- my priority 17 was, when it was time to go, I made it happen. 18 Even with every one of my children. Okay? 19 MR. FREDERICKS: Right. 2.0 Ιf 2.1 MR. MALONEY: So I made that happen. I had to take them out of school, they got the 22 letter. They got the note. So I made it happen. 23 I realize that some people won't be that 24

passionate. But you asked me personally, and I'm

telling you I made it happen.

2.0

2.1

MR. FREDERICKS: Right, yeah.

The other exception that I want to take to Mr. Santucci's remarks, the Board of Commissioners were not blind-sided at all. Blind-sided to us on the board means that one of our board members brings up an item under new business without any prior discussion with staff and has a second lined up to put it on the floor for discussion. That's blind-sided. We were not blind-sided. This followed procedure to the tee.

MR. MALONEY: I think you did say
earlier, though, that it was a surprise or -- I'm
not sure exactly what adjective you used when
Mr. Daley proposed and then --

MR. FREDERICKS: The adjective I used is that the other seven of us, this Saturday opener issue was not even on our radar.

MR. MALONEY: Oh, okay.

MR. FREDERICKS: Okay?

MR. MALONEY: So that was sort of the blind-siding I think he was referring to.

MR. SANTUCCI: Yeah.

MR. FREDERICKS: He brought it up. He got a second. And to be team players, we voted to

have it thrown out and run up the flagpole.

MR. MALONEY: Understood.

MR. SANTUCCI: I think my concern, Denny, was when you look at the commissioners' policy manual, they're remanded to take in the hunter opinion, one of the constituent hunter opinion. That was strongly on opposition here. Ιt was completely ignored. They're also remanded to take in their staff reports. The staff reports who shot down -- the commissioners had some hypotheticals: time, how to bring back lapsed hunters. And it was all refuted, and it was to a point where -- I watched the meeting -- it was a live stream meeting -- they brought in Derek Stoner. He's their Hunting Outreach coordinator. He's the guy they pay to know the demographic of the hunters in this state. Derek came right in and he followed up and supported Dr. Jagnow. We're not going to likely influence younger hunters. Whatever perceived -was the word he used -- whatever perceived benefit you think you're going to be get from the Saturday opener, you're going to be offset by camp hunters, conflicting openers in other states.

So there was no support from staff.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

There was no support from the hunters. If I remember right, the chairman, two chairmen of this very committee called that Board of Commissioners back to reconsider the vote.

Am I right, Mr. Kortz?

2.0

2.1

MR. KORTZ: That's correct. I was going to talk about that in a bit.

MR. SANTUCCI: Okay. And now we are here today. This was offered. This was to be revisited. That's what, I believe, the comment was that was used.

Now, I get we have this uptake, but I think I showed clearly that one category puts us in the red. And the point that bothers me the most is, with the success of everything that I mentioned with the bear and so forth, almost 60,000 different new specialty tags purchased this year because of changes, how do you lay this at the feet of just the one?

You know, if your car isn't working and you change the plugs, the wires, the points, and it runs right, how do you know which one fixed it?

So we have some data to show about license sales purchased the week before, but the argument can also be put into that there was a lot

of interest about the bear season that opened at 1 the same time. So it's a double-edged sword. 2 3 So I guess when you cut to the chase, was what we gained worth what we lost? I really 4 5 think it gets to that. MR. FREDERICKS: May I add one thing? 6 7 MR. ECKLER: Sure. MR. FREDERICKS: The problem here isn't 8 9 the opening day of deer season and when it occurs. The problem here is Thanksgiving. 10 MR. SANTUCCI: That's a good point. 11 That's part of it. That's a big part of it. 12 MR. KORTZ: You can't move that. 13 MR. SANTUCCI: Do you got that on the 14 next agenda? 15 MR. FREDERICKS: You can't move that. 16 If we move it ahead or we move it back, you know, 17 we're still going to have a different set of 18 issues. 19 MR. SANTUCCI: To a degree. 2.0 2.1 I agree with Denny to a point on that. But you also have to understand, having a weekend 22 23 ahead of the Monday -- do you know what I'm saying? If you start it on a Saturday, any Saturday, 24

regardless if Thanksgiving was there, guys are

still coming off of work Friday, see? The time is still not there to jump in, especially with 200,000 guys that still hunt from camps, you know.

2.0

2.1

So whatever Saturday you started on, you lost this buffer of Saturday-Sunday preparation times, opening up, warming up camp, shooting in your rifle. That was the tradition and the aspect that we lost. So I agree with Denny it would be less complicated if we didn't have it on a Thanksgiving weekend, but it would still present the problems that guys would have to get off work on Friday and get to camp. Otherwise, they're going to have to take off work Friday. You know, this weekend was the buffer for the Monday.

MR. ECKLER: Let's move along to Representative Kulik.

MS. KULIK: Well, a lot of my questions have been answered. I have a very suburban district. In fact, it's a totally suburban district. But I have hundreds and hundreds of sportsmen, sportswomen, and hunters in my district. So this is a very important issue to them.

I appreciate everyone coming here and giving their testimony, but I will have to add that I was very impressed with the data and the numbers

presented by Mr. Santucci. I think a lot of those hit home. I think you did your homework and answered so many questions. I would have had to say well, if we were up 3,618 licenses, where did those come from? And I think you answered that question as to where they came from, and I appreciate that.

As I said, most of my questions have been answered. I will add, though, that several months ago I did reach out to my constituents and said on the Sunday hunting issue and the Saturday change that they should contact the Game Commission and we gave them the ability to do that. We sent out the site for them to contact because I thought that was important, and I was specifically told by your staff that this is what they needed to do and I'm hoping that a lot of them, in fact, did that. We did this months ago out of my office.

But I appreciate the testimony again. I think the data and the numbers say a lot that were presented today.

MR. ECKLER: Thank you, Representative Kulik.

Representative Gleim.

MS. GLEIM: Hi. Thank you. I have an

2.0

2.1

observation, and this may be a comment. On this graph right here that you provided, it was No. 3, I just want to make a general observation that I think this is the problem, not Thanksgiving. This is the problem. And just observing some of the upticks on here, just go back to, you know, 1998, we had a stockmarket crash then and 2001 there's an uptick. That was 9-11. In 2008-2009 during the uptick, that was our economic downturn. I mean you can almost see why we had the upticks here. And in 2013 coming from the construction industry where a lot of our men and women hunt, you know, that was a bad construction year. But if you take a look at this comprehensively and don't even consider those reasons why we have the upticks, it's going down over time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

So my question is, from the Game

Commission standpoint, you know, you're looking at
this data, did you do any type of a comprehensive
impact study on why this may be happening and all
of the things that you could be doing to enhance
it?

I mean some of the things that came up were putting hunter safety courses in schools, the Sunday hunting, the Saturday opener, social media

campaigns, and I would say that the percentage increase is not significant if you don't factor in the opportunity cost of all of the other things that didn't happen during that week.

2.0

2.1

some forethought to this rather than just shooting from the hip. Hey, let's just throw out a Saturday opener and see if that gives us a little bit of an uptick. And maybe because I'm new I didn't get any type of impact study on this, but I would suggest, before we make any other decision from here, doing something more comprehensive based off of some of the data that we just received.

MR. FREDERICKS: Madam Representative, the purpose of me being here was to give you an opportunity to speak directly to me, the final decisionmaker, and one of the voters. But your questions are very, very good. And I would appreciate if you would give our executive director, Bryan Burhans, a moment to explain some of the things you just asked if you don't mind.

MR. BURHANS: To go back, I mean you're right. That graph has declined since 1983. That's the real big issue. I think when we look at this, if we want to look at it from the economic business

side, the outdoor industries have been hurting for some time now. There's a lot of turnover nationwide in the outdoor industry as we see this national decline of hunters, and as the agency, we looked at and examined different opportunities. To answer your one question, do we do other things other than creating more opportunity? Absolutely. We're doing a number things. Everything from social media to out-on-the-ground events and trying to get people involved in hunting.

2.0

2.1

why I think it's significant -- and again, we can slice and dice this data all day long and make inferences -- I would argue that, you know, it was a positive. It wasn't in the red because although more people were senior, I had younger people coming in and buying licenses behind them. So overall we saw something that was positive. Is this the answer? It's only one year of data. It's hard to make a prediction based on one year of data what's going to happen, but at least it is a change.

Of all the surveys we do, the two things that come up, and this is national and here in Pennsylvania, the No. 1 reason people don't hunt is time and No. 2 is a place to hunt. We're real

fortunate in Pennsylvania because we do have a lot of places to hunt. And I would expect, especially down here in this part of Pennsylvania, that you would definitely hear from your constituents because we all recognize that there was impact to the camp culture.

2.0

2.1

I'm a member of a camp. I've been a member of that camp culture during my life, and I understand the change that that represented. But also we have to remember that 75 percent of our hunters don't hunt from camps, and those are a lot of times the people that we hear from that this really helped them because the kids were home from college or they had another day to hunt. A lot of them, the way they looked at it and what they said to us was, you didn't take anything away from us. You gave us an extra day.

Now, going back to the economics side of it, it's very difficult when you look at -- it was brought up earlier about -- well, you brought it up -- about Dr. Jagnow and the predictions based on that. It's very difficult to -- there's a lot of static, if you will, in data when you ask somebody well, if we did this, would you do that, and we expect that. You know, you can't always determine

exactly what it is.

2.0

2.1

So, for example, we ask one question:

If we had a Saturday opener, would you buy a

license? And I won't give the exact numbers, but

there was a certain number that said no, we won't.

When we followed back up and say did they actually

not buy a license? Most of them did buy a license.

So what they say they'll do -- and we know this

about surveys -- what people say they want or say

they do and what they actually do are two different

things. It gives us an idea.

As far as an economics study, again it's really hard to determine what is going to happen because let's face it, it was mentioned earlier we sent 27,000 extra bear hunters with a tag up to the North Central area. That certainly had to have an impact economically on the area, in addition to all of the other opportunities that we had.

So although, granted, there could have been -- because I've heard mixtures all over the board -- I haven't seen a comprehensive study that was done but, except, I got Clinton County. There was, I think it was -- and I have it right here -- 25 percent of them said, you know, it didn't affect us or it did affect us.

MS. GLEIM: I just want to know, was there any type of --

MR. BURHANS: No.

2.0

2.1

MS. GLEIM: -- thought process that led you to believe that opening on Saturday instead of Monday was the way to fix or to elevate this issue?

MR. BURHANS: And again, that goes back to a commissioner's decision because it was a commissioner recommendation. So they brought the idea, and the reason was allowing more time. We know that kids from college are home during that spring or that break time. We know kids from high school have that weekend that's available to them. I can only -- that's really a comment for Commissioner Fredericks. As far as economic study, no.

MS. GLEIM: Thank you.

MR. ECKLER: Chairman Kortz.

MR. KORTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Gentlemen, for your testimony this evening. I greatly appreciate it. Very insightful. As I said earlier, it's a very passionate subject.

I want to thank the members that attended here tonight, also. Many of them drove a

long distance to be here, so thank you all for coming.

2.0

2.1

I also want to thank the audience for being here this evening. And you participated in a survey earlier, and if my math was correct -- and, Representative Cook, it was a great question -- do you want to move the opener back to Monday, and just by glance, I thought I saw about 80 percent of the hands up. Is that about right? Would you concur with about 80 percent out of about 80 people? Well, there's 64.

Mr. Fredericks, where are you at? There he is. There's 64 people right here, sir, that want to go back to that.

MR. FREDERICKS: I'll take your word for it, sir. I didn't count them.

MR. KORTZ: I'm going to do another survey at the end, but first I want to go through some of the history and share this with everybody because Chairman Gillespie and I were deeply involved in this when it went down.

When we heard about the Saturday start,

I, for one, the hair on the back of my neck stood

up because I'm a traditional camp guy and it cut

right into how we do -- what we do at our camp,

what I do.

2.0

2.1

So, you know, we had you guys in, and to your credit, seven of the members showed up.

Mr. Daley had resigned by that point in time. So you came in, honorable gentlemen. You came in, and we had a discussion about this, Chairman Gillespie, and I.

And it got pretty interesting, wouldn't you say, Dennis? It was an interesting conversation to say the least.

MR. FREDERICKS: Yes, sir.

MR. KORTZ: And one of the things that stuck out in my mind was, Charlie Fox, the current president, right, he's the elder gentleman, the elder statesman, if you will, and when he spoke, I mean he really brought some passion to that because he's a traditional guy, and he only flipped on that last day. He was one of the flippers, and he did that because of the youth. He kept saying it's because I want to get the youth involved in hunting. I want to create more opportunity for the youth. I understand that. I want more youth in hunting, also. But I don't think we did it in the right way. That's just my opinion.

But from that meeting, so everybody

knows, all the members here and everybody in the audience, from that meeting we got a commitment from the seven commissioners that they would revisit this in the next hunting season. Well, that's here now. So you're going to be taking this up and you're going to be discussing this Saturday start versus a Monday start versus possibly a Sunday start if you're going to vote on that in April.

Is that correct, Dennis?

MR. FREDERICKS: Currently the proposal is for a Saturday start, and it's subject to public input between now and April.

MR. KORTZ: Right. So you're going to take the public input. So I want everybody to send a letter to the Game Commission on how you feel about this Saturday start or Monday start or maybe put an idea out there for a Sunday start. But they did come in. They're honorable gentlemen. They did come in. They sat with us. They heard us out. And Chairman Gillespie was pretty adamant and myself, and they are going to take that into consideration. They weren't going to just slam the door. They're taking our feedback again.

Now, you took feedback last year and had

2.0

2.1

80 percent that said don't do it, but you did it So if you get 80 percent this year, anyway. Dennis, you'll be in the office again. You know that. So please take the message back to Charlie Fox and the whole group. If 80 percent of the people say they want to move this back, we need to consider that. And the problem is, and again I'm a traditionalist, it is the Thanksgiving holiday. You have Thanksgiving holiday. You spend that time with your family and your kids. Then you have Friday, and your kids are still there and you're with your spouse and your family; right? Typically you leave on Saturday. That was my keel, and that cut into that. Okay? So we have an opportunity to change it. And now that we voted yes for Senate Bill 147 and Sunday hunting is now law, we have the capability of possibly moving it to a Sunday which is where I'm going with this.

My question is this, and I'd like to run another survey of the group. If you can't get the Saturday moved back to Monday, who here would go along with a Sunday start? Raise your hand if you're for a Sunday.

UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have church on Sunday.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

(Hands raised.)

2.0

2.1

MR. KORTZ: Okay. So a lot less folks. A lot less folks. Because I saw that as the great compromise. I saw that if you could have Saturday, you could still be with your family and do the other things and go to camp and help out the businesses that are being impacted, like Truman's store up in Jefferson County. Mr. Allen, who is here tonight, was bringing that up to me. And I know Senator Brewster is getting inundated with a lot of the other businesses up in rural PA. They're getting feedback slowly coming in to us that it's really impacted them.

I appreciate, Randy, you bringing that to the table today and talking about that because that's one of the things that was hidden out there, one of the unforeseen consequences. These people depend -- their economic survivability in the wintertime is with that weekend of all the hunters rushing to camp. I was one of those guys going down to Greene County. I would hit all the stores and hit the business.

Randy, if you want to mention anything about that again, you're welcome. But that's a big impact.

MR. SANTUCCI: Absolutely. That was the part of this, I think, when I contacted the ten businesses and I picked big ones from Grice's, the largest one in the state, down to the mom and pop stores. And the thing that struck me the most is, I didn't call 30 stores and cherry pick ten. The ten I called, all: Boom. They were already writing letters, some of them. So this was something that became very clear. This was a big problem. And they all identified -- they discussed this with their neighboring businesses the same problems. You know, they said boy, this was terrible. We lost -- one lady from -- what's her Peggy from Kane, PA, Paul's Trading Post I believe it was, if you read her letter, I mean this struck me because she made the comment regarding this is the first time in my life I don't know if I'm going to be able to pay my gas bill this winter. Because they depended on that \$2,000 or \$3,000 bump over that weekend and they got about 90 bucks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

You know, the big stores are one.

That's a big number. Grice, 200,000. But Grice
will survive. But the other stores, the smaller
places, the restaurants, the diners, the fire

departments, all of those lost from this.

2.0

2.1

So when you look at the fact we only had a slight -- now, we talked about the youth.

Charlie mentioned, you know, about the youth. And I mentioned earlier the four youth categories were still down total. One went up to nonresident a little bit, but three out of the four went down.

So the total was down. So our youth categories still declined, and this was targeted for that 18 to 21, 18 to 34. We told you what happened with the 22 to 34, 12 licenses, and you only sold 1,067 licenses to the 18 to 21s.

So we reached a few people, you know, over last year, maybe a few more even in the make-up of last year's losses, but it just doesn't wash. You know, what we did to the traditions....

I made a point obviously of researching this thoroughly, and I talked to a bunch of camp guys stopping in around my camp and all over. With God as my witness, I have yet to talk to one group of camp owners -- and I brought some stuff with me even to show -- we're cutting out, and I think Bryan mentioned, 25 percent of our hunters still hunt from camps and seasonal homes. Approximately. Approximately.

MR. BURHANS: That's correct.

MR. SANTUCCI: That's a quarter, you know. You're looking at maybe 200,000, 240,000 hunters that you've drastically changed their tradition.

But, Bill, you have a camp. You pay taxes. You do maintenance. You have utilities. That money travels to those little tourism. those towns. Hunting is an intrastate tourism issue. big one. And we're just, like, deciding that we're going to be okay with selling a couple thousand licenses to the Game Commission and we're going to throw all of these businesses under the bus. don't understand this at all. This is what's frustrating me as a sportsman, as a resident; that we're doing something here that's hurting a lot of people, millions of dollars in this state, thousands of businesses. If we had come back with a bunch of licenses sold in all of these categories, guess what? I wouldn't be here tonight. But it didn't happen. So that's the problem.

MR. KORTZ: That economic impact is huge, and I know that's starting to roll in here.

I do want to relay something else. Two

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

miles from our camp in Greene County is another camp, and you've probably heard of this thing. Rich Trumka, He's a president of the AFL-CIO International and I go over there every year on Sunday. When I walked into his camp, he wanted to rip my head off. "How dare you move this." I said, "Whoa, time out, Rich. I didn't move this. I was against the Saturday start." He was irate, I mean just absolutely irate, because this is a big tradition down in his camp with 15 other guys. And he said how he had to leave the Thanksgiving table and he caused upheaval at his house. Oh my gosh, I couldn't wait to get out of there because he wanted to rip my head off because I couldn't convince him that I didn't do this. But I'm going to relay his side of it. He said this is going to cause divorces. Someone else said it here tonight. And that came straight from the president of the AFL-CIO who is completely incensed over the Saturday start, as well as I am, to be quite honest with you.

MR. SANTUCCI: I didn't get into the anecdotal stuff which you're mentioning here because I felt the case was stronger made on the license sales and the economic impact.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

The anecdotal side of this is enormous, but you can't sell that because it's not in hard numbers and it's not -- you know, how do you sell lost tradition? Or a commissioner that makes a comment that I have, not Mr. Fredericks -- and I'll give him the courtesy because Denny was not one of the ones that made some of these comments -- but I heard a comment from one commissioner say, I never heard any opposition about this in my area. commissioner had a county in this area, right, take a vote, and put it in the paper that they opposed this change. I got two of the businesses that are in the packet are in the top five businesses in the northeast, sporting goods stores, that were strongly against this and made comments that 90 percent were against it. Another comment was, I never seen hunters angered so much about this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

So here's a commissioner that says, I didn't hear any opposition. How did I find it? You know, that's what bothers me. And we're going to take this back now again and put it in the hands of the same commissioners to assess this again after we just went through this mountain of evidence, and these business now are going to go -- and if we put the Sunday on after the Saturday,

more economic impact. 1 MR. KORTZ: Right. Well, I know time is 2 3 running late, and I just wanted to wrap up by saying please, everyone, you heard today that 4 5 they're taking comments. So make sure you get your comments to the Game Commission on where you stand 6 7 with the Saturday start versus Monday start versus possibly a Sunday start, but send them the 8 9 feedback, and I look forward to the vote. When is that, Dennis, so we can all 10 attend? 11 MR. FREDERICKS: April 6th. 12 MR. BURHANS: 7th. 13 MR. KORTZ: April 7th. So, everybody, 14 you're invited April 7th to come up and watch the 15 16 vote. Right; Dennis? 17 MR. FREDERICKS: Write it down. 18 MR. KORTZ: Okay. Thank you, 19 Mr. Chairman. 2.0 MR. ECKLER: Mr. Daub, I know you wanted 2.1 22 to say something. 23 MR. DAUB: It's actually a good segway. Thank you, Bill. 24 I just wanted to let everybody know that 25

as a witness -- and Randy set Saturday in the auditorium that day as well -- if my numbers were correct -- and maybe you have better numbers than me -- but I believe there were 27 people who spoke in person in the January Game Commission meeting which is the process for this game management, and I believe out of the 27, around 20 or 21 voted in favor of the Saturday opener, and I was on the fence because my organization is undecided at this point.

2.0

2.1

So, you know, I recently ran the Facebook poll that was shared through many, many groups within Facebook, and I understand there may be some bias there thinking that it's only young people on Facebook. Well, I can tell you my 80-year-old mother, before she passed, was a Facebooker. So it's not just young people out there.

So I'm not here to defend the Game

Commission. I'm willing to fight when the fight

needs to be taken to them, but when the

commissioners sit there and listen to 21 out of 27

supporting the Saturday opener, we have to work the

process. And that's the point that PFSC would like

to make. We believe we have a good process here.

2.0

So let's get out on April 7th, for or against, and do it. I'm not aware of any rally that was put out for or against for the January meeting. I think that was a pretty organic result. And it was pretty much 65 or 70 percent of the people thought Saturday was okay.

MR. SANTUCCI: I think, Harold, the problem there, when you see what's happened is -- there's a gentleman sitting here today. He called me yesterday. Is it Jack?

UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Right here.

MR. SANTUCCI: I never met him before.

He just found out and he called me. And he made

the comment to me, and you can reiterate if I'm

telling the truth, that all the camps around him

are against this, but a lot of them said what are

we gonna do? They didn't listen to us last spring.

When they all came out and said no, they didn't

listen to us. Is that not true?

(Clapping.)

MR. SANTUCCI: So when you get 27 people come -- and you see my point -- it's because the agency, they feel, didn't listen to them over this when we came out last year about this.

MR. MALONEY: So you're sitting in front of the voice of the people.

MR. SANTUCCI: Absolutely. I don't see that's -- and again, I'll go back to if the license sales blew up and everybody loved this, like I said I wouldn't be here. But like I said, the hunters have resigned to the fact -- if I'm not mistaken, Denny Fillmore wrote an article in the Pennsylvania Outdoor News not too long ago calling out the Game Commission Board of Commissioners that they do not listen to the hunters.

Am I not correct in that?

UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: They don't listen to anything.

MR. SANTUCCI: So the president of the federation even recognized because this upset him, too, you know. So there's even --

MR. MALONEY: I'm going to give a shout out to the commissioner who is sitting right behind you because in my time here, only twice was I ever approached by a commissioner. Only twice. And tonight is the first time in my time that a commissioner actually sat on the panel and took questions. Okay?

So let's give credit where credit is

2.0

2.1

deserved. And I appreciate you said that because he deserves that, and I believe that this is what this has come to because when we talk about the opportunity, when we talk about -- Bryan said great opportunity in Pennsylvania. The one question I do have about that is that I've always taken pride in Pennsylvania having a lot of real estate. And to use the second problem as no place to hunt only brings me to another problem because if we do have a lot of places to hunt and they say they don't, that comes back to the product problem. So just food for thought for people to think about. And the fact that I have worked very hard on trying to get kids in front of the hunters' safety course which has not been very well published, and so I would be remiss if I didn't bring that up again.

So thank you again, Commissioner.

MR. FREDERICKS: Thank you.

MR. DAUB: I just want to reiterate again that we have to work the process. We can't be heard if we're not speaking. And I sense the frustration in the audience. Be heard. Make sure that you are getting represented, be it through an organization or go there as an individual.

I'll remind everybody of the story I

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

told. That was an individual raccoon hunter that stood up there and presented some information. And this board, I've been working with the Game Commission. I've been a hunter ed. instructor for over 20 years, and I've been going to this meeting in Harrisburg probably since the '80s. I will say that this board that we have today is as cooperative and dedicated to bringing opportunity to Pennsylvania hunters as any that I've seen as a board since 1980.

2.0

2.1

So, Representative Maloney, I have a hunter ed. class this Saturday, and I'm really excited. There's 80 students registered in it.

MR. MALONEY: That's great.

MR. DAUB: I used to have one school in Upper Dauphin County that allowed me to have a class at their school, and it was allowed because the principal was a certified hunter ed.

We need to find more than just the venue. We need to find the volunteer instructors as well.

MR. MALONEY: Without a doubt. But I think the venue does help in the fact that -- I'll go a little bit further. As a former school

director, I know what policy does, and when policy blocks you from coming in --

MR. DAUB: Absolutely.

2.0

2.1

MR. MALONEY: -- remember, my
legislation has to do with hunters, and the people
who own those schools should have the opportunity
to use them. So it's a good point.

MR. DAUB: Yeah. Now, in my district as the hunter ed. coordinator, I don't have a problem with venue. I do have a problem with time for students. And when the Millersburg School District had hunter education classes offered as a club for one hour for six weeks, every kid in Millersburg pretty much went through that. I got very few of them in my classes outside of that.

So I'd love to work with you on your hunter ed. idea. I'd love to sit down with you and talk about that.

MR. SANTUCCI: I'd like to just end with one last thought if I can. What concerns me a bit, too, is I, as a 48-year consecutive hunting license buyer, as a lot of guys probably in this room are, the concern being put on the hunter that could not see it clear to -- you know, I purchased my license over the years. Now, Bryan will know I would

disagree on some deer management policies and different things over the years --

MR. BURHANS: Noooo.

MR. SANTUCCI: No; but I also bought my license supporting this agency. I have a problem with us doing so much to reach out for a hunter that sees no other reason to buy a license other than changing of one day. The seasons are so long. They are so many species. You know, I would buy my license if I broke my leg and couldn't go the rest of the season. I'd buy my license. And to make this change, to throw under your traditional hunters, the guys that have been loyal to this agency for half a century, to be concerned about some hunters that can't see fit to buy a license unless we change one day, that frustrates me and it bothers me.

So my point is, let's go back. Like I mentioned earlier, we jumped over the dollars to get to the pennies here. I mean if we can get this back to a Monday and then work with the Sundays after that, I think this would be wonderful.

Thank you.

MR. ECKLER: Great. You know, we've had, I think, a great robust conversation, colorful

conversation today but cordial, and, you know, I think the Game Commission has its work cut out for it here. And, you know, I just implore, you know, everyone in the room, everybody who watches this, everybody out there, you know, it's been said by everybody up here tonight we can't advocate for things that we don't know what to advocate for.

So even if you think your voice is not being heard, it's certainly not a time to sit back and give up. So if you truly feel passionate about something, you need to let these folks know.

Whether it's you personally, your representative, your respective agencies, or groups that support you, it's very important to let your voice be heard. And you've heard that from everybody here today.

And, you know, I want to thank our panel today. I want to thank everyone who is here and gave their testimony. And before we get going here, I want to turn it over to Representative Cook who was gracious enough to organize this and welcome us to his district.

Representative Cook, why don't you see us on out.

MR. COOK: Well, first and foremost, I

2.0

2.1

want to thank Chairman Gillespie who couldn't be with us tonight. He's ill. And our panel as well.

2.0

2.1

Believe it or not, you have some really avid outdoorsmen on this board. You're talking to somebody who ran a trap line ten miles when he was in high school. I didn't shoot my first deer until I was 40. It was always a family tradition. Not that I didn't have the shots.

But look, this is about faith, family, and future. And I don't believe that people understand the passion that we have here, not only in the 49th, but in the entire Southwestern Pennsylvania. It's almost a religion.

Thanksgiving, I can remember you went out and hunted small game all morning; you came in; you ate Thanksgiving dinner; you watched the football game. And you had Friday. Saturday you shot your gun in; you did the camp. Sunday you went out and you did the scouting and you were out in the woods on Monday.

so look, we represent you. You have to have your voice heard out there, and that's why we're here. This isn't about us. It's not about us as individuals. It's about you, the people, and we're trying to do the best possible job that we

can.

2.0

2.1

So I want to thank each and every one of you. Don't give up the fight. I had a gentleman turn around and leave today because he couldn't speak. Okay? Unfortunately, that's not how the process works up here. But the process does not end here tonight. They need to hear it from us.

I was in advertising for many, many years, and I used to tell the clients advertising works 50 percent of the time. I just can't tell you which 50 percent.

So keep your comments, those e-mails, contact the commission. Everybody truly is trying to do the best job we can here, and we do care about it. And again, thanks to all the committee members. Appreciate it.

MR. ECKLER: Thank you, Bud, and thank you, Chairman Kortz, and thanks to everybody else that's here. Let's have a good night.

(Clapping.)

(The hearing concluded at 6:55 p.m.)

- - - - -

C E R T I F I C A T E I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the stenographic notes taken by me on the hearing of the within cause and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Pamela J. Rose, Court Reporter